SUPERIOR COURT OF CHATHAM COUNTY EASTERN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF GEORGIA

e-Filed in Office Tammie Mosley Clerk of Superior Court Chatham County Date: 5/15/2023 3:08 PM Reviewer: CH

THE STATE OF GEORGIA) CASE NO.: SPCR22-03364
)
V .)
)
LEILANI MAREE SIMON)

Transcript of proceedings heard during the RULE 22, ARRAIGNMENT, AND BOND HEARING in the above-styled case at the Chatham County Courthouse, Savannah, Georgia, on the 23rd of March 2023, before the Honorable Tammy Stokes, Judge, Superior Court, Eastern Judicial Circuit of Georgia.

Appearances:

For The State: TIMOTHY PATRICK DEAN, ESQ.

Assistant District Attorney

Savannah, Georgia

For The Defense: KAITLYN ELIZABETH WALKER, ESQ. For The Defense: ROBERT WARREN ATTRIDGE, JR., ESQ.

JOSEPH VIGNERI, ESQ.

Assistant Public Defenders

Savannah, Georgia

Τ	INDEX
2	Preliminary matters 2
3	Rule 22 Hearing 3
4	Objection by the State 3
5	Rebuttal by the Defense 4
6	Court's ruling re: Rule 22 5
7	Bond Hearing 8
8	Argument by the Defense 8
9	Argument by the State 10
L 0	Court's ruling on motion 10
11	Arraignment of the Defendant
L2	Certificate of Court Reporter 14
. 3	
4	
. 5	
L 6	
.7	
- 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
/ h	

- 1 (NOTE: Notations of "(inaudible)" or
- 2 "(indiscernible)" indicate individuals either speaking too
- 3 rapidly or softly, speaking over each other, speaking away
- from a microphone, and/or courtroom background noise
- 5 obscuring the spoken words:)
- 6 (The above-captioned proceeding commenced at 9:09
- 7 a.m. on the aforementioned date.)
- 8 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
- 9 ALL PRESENT: Good morning, Judge.
- 10 THE COURT: We are here today on Indictment Number
- 11 SPCR22-03364-J6, State of Georgia versus Leilani Maree
- 12 Simon. For the record, Ms. Simon -- excuse me, the State
- of Georgia is represented by Tim Dean. The Defendant is
- 14 represented by Kaitlyn Walker, as well as Bob Attridge and
- Joe Vigneri.
- There are three matters that the Court is going to
- 17 handle today. The first is the -- is to address the Rule
- 18 22 with regards to recording by the media. And the other
- 19 two and three will be bond consideration as well as
- 20 arraignment.
- 21 And with that, let's turn to the Rule 22 issue.
- 22 I'll, first, hear from the State. Does the State have any
- objections?
- 24 MS. WALKER: If we may bring Ms. Simon out here, Your
- Honor.

- THE COURT: Oh, I'm so sorry. We do need her, don't

 we?

 (Whereupon, discussion ensued off the record.)

 (NOTE: Defendant is escorted into the courtroom.)
- 5 THE COURT: Good morning.

- 6 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
- THE COURT: For the benefit of Ms. Simon, I will

 state once again, we're here on Indictment Number

 SPCR22-03364-J6, the State of Georgia versus Leilani Maree

 Simon. Of course, Tim Dean's representing the State.

 Ms. Simon is represented by Kaitlyn Walker, Bob Attridge,

 and Joe Vigneri.
 - And there are the three matters that we will get into today, the first of which is the Rule 22 matter regarding recording.
 - Mr. Dean, is there anything from the State regarding the Rule 22?
 - MR. DEAN: Just briefly, Your Honor. We do object.

 We had a previous hearing on this where we all sort of addressed these requests in a general sense prospectively what our position's gonna be with respect to them going forward. At that time, I placed on the record the State's objection, which was based primarily on the privacy interests of Quinton Simon's two surviving siblings. I conceded, at that time, that that objection probably

applies with more force at substantive hearings at which the evidence is actually gonna be discussed than it does to procedural hearings such as an arraignment.

So I'm objecting today for the purposes of both hearings, both the arraignment and the bond hearing issue. But I will acknowledge that the objection applies with more force to the bond matter than it does to an arraignment, which is pretty much a pro forma procedural matter. And, you know, I understand that the Defense objects on different grounds.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dean.

And from the Defense, Mr. Attridge?

MR. ATTRIDGE: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. ATTRIDGE: Judge, we acknowledge the press has a right to -- to be in courtrooms. And at the same time, the Defendant has a right, ultimately, to have a fair and impartial jury brought in and have a trial. And that's the balancing test the Court has to consider.

My position -- our position is one where we think the Court can reach a -- a balancing point by allowing them to report what happens in the courtrooms, but we're opposed to any type of videotaping. The videotaping, I think, feeds into a -- sort of a frenzy type of situation, and, you know, it -- it just leads to a problem in a small

community like Savannah, Chatham County, where it's a small news market that there's so much information put out there in social media, and then you have the news media.

Ultimately, everybody's gonna hear something about the case. Hopefully, it's all accurate information, but that's not always the case these days. It's just so much misinformation. I'm not saying the news media is -- produces that. But there's just so much misinformation that, ultimately, when it comes time to pick a jury, we have quite a task on our hands trying to weed out what information they have, can they not consider that information. It just poses almost a Herculean task to try to get a fair and impartial jury.

So I think the Court can balance these rights, and to allow the press to report, but not videotape these proceedings. That's my position. It has been and it will be throughout any and all hearings from here on in.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Attridge.

MR. ATTRIDGE: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're right. This is a balancing issue.

And the Court will continue to balance the interests of
the public and the rights of Ms. Simon.

Given that Georgia courts tend to promote access to the proceedings, it promotes an understanding of the proceedings, not only for those involved, but, also, for

- 1 the public, and the -- and the reports by the media to the
- public. I am going to note your objections, but allow
- 3 recording.
- 4 MR. ATTRIDGE: Thank you, Judge.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 6 So with that being said --
- 7 MR. DEAN: May --
- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 MR. DEAN: Pardon me, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- MR. DEAN: Would that ruling apply both to the
- 12 arraignment and to the bond hearing?
- 13 THE COURT: It will. However, with regards to the
- 14 bond hearing, I do recognize that there is information
- 15 that should not be made public. You've provided me with
- 16 that information. I have reviewed it. I will consider
- it. I will not publish that.
- 18 MR. DEAN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. So with that, I will direct that
- 20 there be pooled coverage by the media. I will allow
- 21 members of the media to decide among themselves who will
- do that pool coverage. And the one that is designated to
- do that, I will ask, as well, for a schedule and a
- 24 proposed arrangement so that I can review that as well.
- If you're not able to decide that, who will head the pool

- 1 coverage, then I will designate. But I imagine that you
- 2 can have a conversation about that and take care of that.
- 3 And I see a hand raised.
- 4 MALE SPEAKER: We're good, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: And you are?
- 6 MALE SPEAKER: WSAV.
- 7 THE COURT: WSAV.
- 8 MALE SPEAKER: And we'll distribute the video as soon
- 9 as the hearing's over.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I see head nods all
- 11 around. Okay. And, of course, I will issue an order
- 12 regarding media coverage as well. There has been one, but
- this one will be more specific.
- I do ask, and I'm sure you understand, that you will
- 15 need to comply with Rule 22 very closely. Any recording
- is to be very discreet, very quiet, and efficiently done
- 17 according to Rule 22.
- 18 Well, with that, we can move, then, to the issue of
- 19 bond. And then we'll move to arraignment. Oh, yeah. You
- 20 need a few minutes to set up? Okay. I'm gonna do that.
- 21 I'm gonna step off the bench and allow you to do that.
- I am gonna ask Defense and Mr. Dean, while they're
- setting up, if you can step back to chambers with me.
- 24 (NOTE: Recess was taken before the Bond Hearing
- begins. After which, the following is transcribed.)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's return to the issue of bond.

Mr. Dean, I have received your request for a restriction

of certain information. The Court has reviewed it. If

there's anything you'd like to say --

Well, first, it's the Defense's motion. Ms. Walker?

MS. WALKER: Your Honor, just briefly, of course.

Ms. Simon was born and raised here locally. She is 23

years old.

Speaking to the statutory factors just briefly, Your Honor, we would say to the Court that she is not a flight risk: one, because she lives here; two, because throughout this investigation, she remained here locally knowing that she was a person of interest in this investigation, and that she is presently under a DFCS case plan, which I will not get into the details of other than to say that she has every incentive to remain in this county pursuant to that plan in order to reunite with her children. And, certainly, that will be her hope, Your Honor.

She is also not a threat or a danger to any individual or the community because, as a result of that case plan, she will be monitored and supervised at a very intense level by people who have a high level of skill to do so. So concerns that the Court may have, based on the allegations in this indictment, should be factored in related to that case plan, Judge, and that she's not at

risk to commit a new felony because she has no felony history, and is presumed to be innocent of these charges, of course, Your Honor.

And finally, that there's no evidence that she would have any ability or desire to intimidate any potential witnesses. Simply being related to some of the witnesses in this case does not rise to the level of that concern, Your Honor.

And so for all of those reasons, we would be asking the Court to adopt, essentially, what the DFCS case plan is. We'd be happy to provide a copy of that to the Court if the Court is so inclined to grant bond so that the Court could conform its order to the DFCS case plan, as it's our position that there are provisions therein, such as drug treatment, et cetera, which will support her while she would be on the outside.

Furthermore, Judge, that we have a location for her to live that is safe. It is out-of-county. And as the Court knows, this has been a very highly investigated and focused upon case in the media and social media. And so we would not provide that address to the Court for fear about Ms. Simon's safety. As the Court knows, she has been harassed by various members of the public who knew her prior address. And so we're very concerned about her safety should she be released. And we'd be happy to

provide that to the Court, but certainly not in open court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Walker.

4 MS. WALKER: Thank you, Judge.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Dean?

MR. DEAN: Your Honor, as the Court has referenced yesterday afternoon, I e-mailed to the Court the State's nine-page response to petition for bond, which I then filed in open court and served on the Defense this morning. They were, of course, copied on -- on the e-mailed version yesterday.

In that filing, which will be restricted because it contains sensitive information that ought not be released, I believe that the State has both preemptively responded pretty conclusively to some of the arguments just articulated by counsel, and also made certain other factual legal arguments about the Defendant's unsuitability for pre-trial release in this case. And with that, I will rest on the written filing.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

In consideration of the information that has been presented from the Defense and from the State, the Court will deny bond based on the risk that she would commit a felony while on bond, and there is a risk of flight as well. So with that, bond is denied.

- 1 MS. WALKER: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 2 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Now, let's move into arraignment at this point. Will
- 4 you have your client come forward?
- 5 MS. WALKER: And, Your Honor, if we may, we'll come
- 6 to the podium. But our intention today is to ask rather
- 7 than for arraignment, because we believe there's -- thank
- 8 you -- some conflict in the case law as to whether or not
- 9 entering arraignment today would waive the demurrers that
- she's filed. Of course, the Court is aware we've filed
- 11 two demurrers. One is a special demurrer as to Counts
- 12 One, Two, and Three of this indictment, and a general
- demurrer as to Counts Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, and
- 14 Seventeen.
- 15 So what we'd be asking for today is for the Court to
- simply set us down for a motions date where we can address
- those issues with the indictment, and then we would move
- 18 forward with arraignment on that date, if the court is so
- 19 inclined.
- 20 THE COURT: The Court will do that. The motions
- 21 hearings will be scheduled soon.
- MS. WALKER: Thank you, Judge.
- 23 THE COURT: And we can set aside time to address the
- 24 -- several issues --
- MS. WALKER: Yes, Your Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: -- that, as well as other motions as
- well.
- 3 MS. WALKER: Thank you, Judge.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 MS. WALKER: All right.
- 6 THE COURT: Turning now to arraignment. Ms. Walker,
- 7 does your client waive formal reading of the indictment?
- 8 MS. WALKER: Well, Judge, I think if we may be doing
- 9 that at that motion hearing, we can do it all together.
- 10 We're just concerned about waiving her arguments as to the
- demurrers that we've already filed. So if we could move
- forward with arraignment at that motions date rather than
- 13 today.
- 14 THE COURT: What the Court is willing to do is
- 15 preserve your --
- MS. WALKER: Understood, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: -- your right to -- to demurrer at this
- 18 point. I would like to move forward --
- MS. WALKER: Okay.
- 20 THE COURT: -- with arraignment.
- 21 MS. WALKER: Okay. Absolutely, Your Honor. And yes,
- 22 so we would waive formal reading of the indictment.
- THE COURT: All right.
- MS. WALKER: And Ms. Simon would be entering her plea
- of not guilty on the face and demanding a jury trial, but,

```
1
          of course, we have motions hearings to come.
 2
               THE COURT: Very, very true.
 3
              MS. WALKER: All right. And, Your Honor, Ms. Simon
 4
          and I have signed our names to the face of the indictment,
 5
          and she has formally entered her plea of not guilty.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Very well. Motions date to
 7
          come.
 8
               MS. WALKER: Thank you, Judge.
 9
               THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Walker.
10
              MS. WALKER: May we be excused?
11
               THE COURT: You may be excused.
12
              MS. WALKER: Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Court is in recess.
14
               (The proceedings adjourned at 9:18 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing 14 pages of typewritten material were taken down by me and transcribed under my supervision, and that the same contain a true, correct, and complete transcript of the proceedings as stated in the caption.

I further certify that I am not of kin nor counsel to any of the parties hereto and, further, that I have no interest whatsoever in the outcome of said proceedings.

This 13th day of May 2023.

Sparie Willis

Natalie Willis, CCR Certificate Number 2739 Room 511, 133 Montgomery Street Savannah, Georgia 31401